

Date March 22, 2010

Mr. Don Ashton
Deputy Executive Officer
Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors
Room 383, Kenneth Hahn
Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street
Los Angeles, California 90012

Dear Mr. Ashton:

Subject: Project No. R2006-03643/Coastal Development Permit No. 200600006

Use: _____
To authorize construction and maintenance of a public wetland and upland park.

Address Northeast corner of Via Marina and Tahiti Way. (Southern portion of Parcel 9U)
Marina del Rey, CA 90292

_____ Playa del Rey _____ Zoned District

Related zoning matters:

Tract or Parcel Map No. _____
Change of Zone Case No. _____
Other _____

This is a notice of appeal from the decision of the Regional Planning Commission on:
(Check One)

_____ The Denial of this request

x _____ The Approval of this request

_____ The following conditions of the approval:

_____, _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, _____.

Briefly, the reason for this appeal is as follows:

see attached pages

Enclosed is a check (or money order) in the total amount of \$ 775.
The amount of \$1,548.00 for applicants or \$775.00 for non-applicants is to cover the
Regional Planning Department's processing fee.

for We ARE Marina del Rey

(Signed) Appellant

We ARE Marina del Rey

Print Name

PO BOX 9096, Marina del Rey, CA 90295

Address

310-909-6697

Day Time Telephone Number

**Appeal of Coastal Development Permit #200600006
Appellant: We ARE Marina del Rey March 22, 2010**

Project No. R2006-03643/Coastal Development Permit No. 200600007 (the Project) shared an EIR and project hearings with three related projects requiring coastal development permits (Project #s R2006-03647-(4), R2006-03652-(4) and TR#067861). The entire group of projects should have been called up for Board review so that all related projects could be heard concurrently.

The decision by the Regional Planning Commission on March 10, 2010 to approve the Project is being appealed because the Project is inconsistent with the Marina del Rey Local Coastal Program (MdR LCP) and the California Coastal Act (Coastal Act) and warrants an appeal hearing. Reference is made to the substantial oral and written testimony previously submitted on the record opposing the Project and is incorporated herein. Additionally, the Project hearings held by the Regional Planning Commission were in violation of the Brown Act.

The Project is inconsistent with, among other sections, the Marine Environment and Land Resources sections of the Coastal Act and the MdR LCP.

The Project was heard prematurely and was piecemealed in violation of the California Environmental Quality Act.

The Project's EIR, among other things, failed to sufficiently analyze impacts, including, but not limited to existing great blue heron and great egret usage of the development site, and also must be recirculated.