

Date 3/22/2010

Mr. Don Ashton
Deputy Executive Officer
Los Angeles, County Board of Supervisor
Room 383, Kenneth Hahn
Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street
Los Angeles, California 90012

Dear Mr. Ashton:

Subject: Tentative Tract/Parcel Map No. 067861 and Coastal Development Permit No. 200600007

Applicant: Woodfin Suite Hotels, LLC

Location: Northeast corner of Via Marina and Tahiti Way, (Northern portion of Parcel 9U)
Marina del Rey, CA 90292

Playa del Rey Zoned District

Related zoning matters:

CUP or VAR No. Conditional Use Permit No. 200600288
Variance No. 200600012

Change of Zone Case No. _____

Other Parking Permit No. 200600020
Environmental Assessment Case No. 200600216

This is a notice of appeal from the decision of the Regional Planning Commission in the subject case. Submitted herewith is a check (or money order), in the total amount of \$775.00. The fee of \$130.00 is to cover the cost of a hearing by the Board of Supervisors and the fee of \$645.00 is to cover the Regional Planning Department's processing fee.

This is to appeal: (Check one)

The Denial of this request

The Approval of this request

The following conditions of the approval:

**Appeal of Tentative Tract Map #067861/Coastal Development Permit #200600007
Appellant: We ARE Marina del Rey March 22, 2010**

Tentative Tract Map # 067861 and Coastal Development Permit No. 200600007 (together, the Project) shared an EIR and project hearings with three related projects requiring coastal development permits (Project #s R2006-03647-(4), R2006-03652-(4) and R2006-03643). The entire group of projects should have been called up for Board review so that all related projects could be heard concurrently.

The decision by the Regional Planning Commission on March 10, 2010 to approve the Project is being appealed because the Project is inconsistent with the Marina del Rey Local Coastal Program (MdR LCP) and the California Coastal Act (Coastal Act) and warrants an appeal hearing. Reference is made to the substantial oral and written testimony previously submitted on the record opposing this project and is incorporated herein. Additionally, the Project hearings held by the Regional Planning Commission were in violation of the Brown Act.

The Project is inconsistent with, among other sections, the Public Access, Recreation, Marine Environment and Land Resources sections of the Coastal Act.

The Project's land use, timeshare component, building height, view corridor, and on-site parking requirements, among other things, are inconsistent with the MdR LCP.

The Project was heard prematurely and was piecemealed in violation of the California Environmental Quality Act.

The Project's EIR, among other things, failed to sufficiently analyze impacts and also must be recirculated.